

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parm and Frademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 14(6) Alexandria, Virgenta 22313-1450 www.usplv.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,189	12/29/2000	Daniel B. Stewart	120-309	8529
	7590 07/09/2007.	•	EXAMINER	
McGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP 125 NAGOG PARK			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
ACTON, MA	01720		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

F ... 8

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/751,189	STEWART ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LaShonda T. Jacobs	2157		

Defore the Filling of all Appear Direct	Examiner	Art Unit						
	LaShonda T. Jacobs	2157						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	The second secon							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).	•	•					
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims						
NOTE:	•							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7	☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ wi	II he entered and an	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,19-22,36 and 45</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-18,23-35,37-44	1 and 46-62 .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet. 	•	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								
	,							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argue that Skeene and Hejza fail to teach a system that performs "load balancing based on an authorized service level and he ability of the servers to deliver the service". However, the feature that the Applicant is arguing is not in the independent claims. According to the independent claims 1, 19, 36 and 45, Skeene teaches a client sending a request to a local domain name server for a resource in which the local domain name server process the request and connect the client with the appropriate server to service the request (paragraphs 0046-0050). However, Skeene does not teach a service authorization handle in which Hejza was relied upon to teach the feature of the claims. Hejza provides quality of service in network environment in which a network address is assigned to the client based on the service class requested by the client (col.7, lines 1-7, 19-21, 63-64 and col. 10, lines 6-10). Therefore, Skeene and Hejza teach the applicant's invention according to the claim language.

ARIO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER